APPROVED BY COMMISSIONERS

BRENT IL CAMERON

JAMES M. BYRNE



STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF **BUSINESS REGULATION**

NORMAN H. BANGERTER, GOVERNOR WILLIAM E. DUNN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

'86 DEC 17 A10:34 December 16, 1986 DATE:

SERVICE COMMISSION Public Service Commission TO:

FROM:

Division of Public Utilities

Ralph N. Creer, Director

Gas and Water Section

Darrell S. Hanson, Manager Dan W. Bagnes, Auditor DUB

RE:

Inter Company Loans Case No. 85-057-09

RECOMMENDATION:

That Mountain Fuel Supply Company be allowed continue to make inter-company loans and that the company continue to report to the Division of Public Utilities as set forth in the Commission's order of October 11, 1985.

EXPLANATION:

The Commission's Order allowed Mountain Fuel to loan up to \$50,000,000 to Questar subject to certain conditions. These conditions included no new loans if Mountain Fuel had short term debt, a maximum loan limit of the greater of \$50,000,000 or 13% of net utility plant(\$50,000,000 greater), and required monthly reports to the Division of Public Utilities.

The report to the Division was to include several specific items including date, amount, rate, length, repayment date and current balance. Mountain Fuel has been very ecoperative in supplying this information and the Division's review indicates that Mountain Fuel has complied with the Commission's conditions.

Although loans reached \$50,000,000 on occasions, the limit was never exceeded. Mountain Fuel did not borrow any moncy(short-term) while the loans were in effect. Mountain Fuel appeared to earn near or at its allowed rate of return for the period and was able to pay its dividends. The loan transaction do not seem to have impaired company operations.

The rate was calculated at 8.23% for October 1985 and reached a high of 8.27% one month later. From that point the rate generally declined reaching a low of 5.85% for September 1986. The most recent rate reported (November) was 6.03% midpoint between the borrowing and market investment rates used splitting the advantage equally for both parties.

The information Mountain Fuel reported to the Commission was information that the company would normally require in making an investment decision of this nature and should have been fairly simple to report to the Division.

The Division sees no reason why the loan program should not be continued. Mountain Fuel has developed a format for reporting that appears to cover the required information and is easy to prepare. The Division therefore recommends that the inter-company loan program be allowed to continue subject to the same restrictions and reporting requirements.

CC: William E. Dunn, Department of Business Regulation Barbara C. Williams, Division of Public Utilities Alan Allred, Mountain Fuel Supply Company